

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 27 May 2004. Responsive to the rejections made in the Official Action, Claims 1-14 have been cancelled and replaced with new Claims 15 – 26, which was the easiest method to clarify the combination of elements that form the invention of the subject Patent Application.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 3 and 6 – 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Wu et al., and Applied Physics Letters publication. Additionally, the Examiner stated that Claims 6 – 14 were duplicative of Claim 1. However, the Examiner kindly indicated that Claims 2, 4 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, Claims 2, 4 and 5 have been rewritten as new Claims 15, 19 and 23, each of new Claims 15, 19 and 23 are being in independent form and including the subject matter formerly found in Claims 2, 4 and 5, the base claim, Claim 1, and any intervening Claims, which there were none. Thus, Claims 15, 19 and 23 should now be allowable. The dependent claims, Claims 16 – 18, 20 – 22 and 24 – 26 define the structure in which the inventive composition is being utilized, and is believed to be a further limitation thereon.

For all the foregoing reasons, it is now believed that the subject Patent

MR1683-525
Serial Number: 10/759,046
Reply to Official Action dated 27 May 2004

Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "David I. Klein". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and registration number.

David I. Klein
Registration #33,253

Dated: 18 Aug. 2004

Suite 101
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
(410) 465-6678

Customer No.
04586